

[Name of Agency]  
**5 YEAR REVIEW REPORT**  
[Citation to Administrative Code]  
[Date]

1. **Authorization of the rule by existing statutes**

2. **The objective of each rule:**

Rule	Objective

3. **Are the rules effective in achieving their objectives?** Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

4. **Are the rules consistent with other rules and statutes?** Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

5. **Are the rules enforced as written?** Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

6. **Are the rules clear, concise, and understandable?** Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

7. **Has the agency received written criticisms of the rules within the last five years?** Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

8. **Economic, small business, and consumer impact comparison:**

9. **Has the agency received any business competitiveness analyses of the rules?** Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

10. **Has the agency completed the course of action indicated in the agency's previous five-year-review report?**

11. **A determination that the probable benefits of the rule outweigh within this state the probable costs of the rule, and the rule imposes the least burden and costs to regulated persons by the rule, including paperwork and other compliance costs, necessary to achieve the underlying regulatory objective:**

12. **Are the rules more stringent than corresponding federal laws?** Yes \_\_\_ No \_\_\_

13. **For rules adopted after July 29, 2010 that require the issuance of a regulatory permit, license, or agency authorization, whether the rules are in compliance with the general permit requirements of A.R.S. § 41-1037 or explain why the agency believes an exception applies:**
  
14. **Proposed course of action**